App. F. IX-4

Preserve for 12 years

1926

File No. 1-26

Previous References V.P.)

Serial Nos. 12

Later References V.P 43-26 Sel 1-4.
V.P 72-32-1 & 11
V.P 32-35. Cos 1-2).

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

P 8/11

Part B for April 27.

SUBJECT

Levy of charge from village Panchayets for Supervision of works.

---

12 To, The Revenue-Comm^r, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts, the Comptroller to Govt & the Chief Engineer No. L 7136-45/L 9.4.27.

Sirs, I am directed to state that there should be no levy of charge from village Panchayets for supervision of works done by Govt. Agency until further orders.

AB 25/5/27.

Who are the Contractors to be entrusted to these civil portions? Who will measure them & make payments?

The C/o will please look up

By
28/1

The rate of 10% is very much lower than that charged by the P.W.D

By

To L.S. & Branch.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT. 25 JAN 1927. Local Govt. Branch

3 2602

Extract from First Member's order on the note submitted by the Special ~~the difficulties~~ Sectt. in connection with Mr. D. Venkatesiah's note in the matter of Local Fund ~~establishments~~.

Note by the Special Secretariat.

1 If the Panchayet Inspectors undertake the supervision of public works of Panchayets, Govt. will be entitled to levy a contribution ~~nkxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ not exceeding 10 percent of the outlay on the works from the Panchayets.

First Member's Orders.

"This should be insisted on by a separate G.O. or rules and some portion of the huge establishment cost recovered."

2 A draft G.O. is submitted for approval or other orders.

25
27/1/27

3 Mem. Ujfi as required
25/1
Revised draft laid from 25/1

26/1 5 Sir Secretary may please complete the draft noting First Member's the method in which this contribution of D. Govt. can easily be created

25/1

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 1880—GPB—1,50,000—2-5-21

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT. 27 JAN 1927

Fl 2284-26.

27/1

27/1 8d 27.1.

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No.

1 The member has minuted that the draft has to be made clear re- the method in which the contribution is ~~to be~~ credited to Govt-

2 The Panchayat Inspector to whom the work is proposed to be entrusted ~~in the~~ works under the Amildar and the best method appears to be for the Panchayat to place at the disposal of the Amildar the cost of the work + Contribution. This will be credited by the Amildar to a deposit head to be opened in Consultation with the Comptroller. The money required for the works ~~being drawn by the Amildar as & when necessary~~ may be paid by ~~the~~ debit to this head on pass orders issued by the amildar. ~~For~~ details of ~~mea~~ recording measurements making bills & the procedure adopted in the P.W.D may be followed.

3 The whole point is that the money should be paid by the Panchayat in advance and the same should not be available for drawing by the Amildar except on pass orders. Otherwise there may be confusion, often, purposely created in accounting for these moneys.

4 The comptroller's opinion may perhaps also be taken.

x
~~by receiving~~
The receipts by Contn levied may perhaps be shown under pay & disp as a deduct entry so as to reduce the charges to be borne by Govt on misaccount

NOTE. Contn.Sheet

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26.
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No.

R 2284-26.

While on this question it may be stated that the rate of contribution proposed is too low and this should be raised to the same level as is charged to Municipalities / District Boards. The general question of raising the rate of contribution is engaging attention and orders passed there may also include V.P. works — vide 10-7.

B.
14/2

Put up)
11 To Compilation with Compliments for favour of opinion as whether the Contribution on account of the Est. percentage may be credited towards the ~~working~~ adjustment which as proposed be avoided

L
18/4/27

2217
W.A.D. dis 9-3-27.

Returned with Compliments.

I am given to understand that the proposal to levy from Village Panchayats, Cesses on works supervised by Panchayat Inspectors has been dropped and it is therefore not necessary to lay down a procedure for the purpose.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
17 FEB. 1927
No. 3605

EARL

29 FEB 1927
BANGA

W.O. 595/11

8/3/27

4 MAR. 1927

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 32602 of 192

Serial No.

~~the recovery~~ a portion of cost from V. Panchayats to be recovered, it is much simpler from the accounts point of view to adopt one of the following methods instead of levying a cess on each individual well

(1) To make a 'pro rata' levy on Village Panchayats for that portion of the total Expenditure on the pay of Inspectors which it is proposed to recover from Panchayats

or (2) To ~~reduce~~ fix the grants-in-aid from Govt to Village Panchayats at a smaller figure, taking into account the amount of Inspectors' pay which it is intended to recover from the Panchayats

Athanmane
28/2/27.

H 2284-2/6

A

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
5 MAR 1927
No. 4120

B

7 MAR. 1927

13 2788) At the Dy Commrs meeting on the 19th July, the general opinion of the Dts officers was that the cess should be levied from the V.Bs. Payee to A in

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

Serial No.

in the Compilation note- the proposed Qs need not perhaps issue

1
5/8/27
Bz

L.S.G Secty)

Rep. paras 1-5 & 12-13.

Chiefs)

28
8/3

I agree. Copies of the speech are now issue

Nev
10/3

When putting an extract of the portion from the proceedings relating to this & put up a draft reply

28
10/3

To run Refer this personally putting up a copy of the Proceedings if made as the same is required in several cases.

Nev
24/3.

Extract putting —

Nev 26/3. When putting a draft would draft for Consideration in accordance with the views arrived at at the Meeting. Also see Jemadar's views

28
28/3

10189
24.

Draft Submitted

Nev
29/3.

Chiefs)

28
30/3

First Member I agree Nev

1/4/27

Nev

Secy

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. E, III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

VP File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No. 12

To the Rev. Commr., D.C.'s of Distts, Comptroller & Chief Engineer,

No L 7136-45 of 9.4.27

Directs that no charge be levied from the Panchayets for supervision of works done by Govt. agency until further orders

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
3 MAY 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

The following rule made under Section 65 of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926, issued under Notification No.L. 3606/L.B.26-26-101 dated 3rd December 1926:-

"37 The supervision of the public works requiring special skill not at the disposal of the Panchayet and executed at its cost may be entrusted to such agency and on such remuneration not exceeding 10 per cent of the outlay as the Government may, from time to time, determine generally or specially in any particular case."

Order No.L. 7/36-40 V. P. 1-26-12

Dated, Bangalore, the 9th April 27

Government are pleased to direct that in view of the fact that the Panchayet are in an infant stage and may not be in a condition to meet the expenditure on accour of such agency, no charge be levied from the Panchayets for supervision of works done by Government agency until further orders.

By order,

30/3 Chief Secretary to Govt.

To The Rev: Commr.,
The Dy.Commrs. of Dts.
The Comptroller to Govt.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore

MK.

29/3

8

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. L. 7136-45—V. P. 1-26-12, dated 9th April 1927

Levy of charge from Village Panchayets for Supervision of Works.

Directs that there should be no — — done by Government agency until further orders.

READ—

The following rule made under Section 65 of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926, issued under Notification No. L. 3606—L. B. 26-26-101, dated 3rd December 1926:—

"37 The supervision of the public works requiring special skill not at the disposal of the Panchayet and executed at its cost may be entrusted to such agency and on such remuneration not exceeding 10 per cent of the outlay as the Government may, from time to time, determine generally or specially in any particular case."

ORDER NO. L. 7136-45—V. P. 1-26-12, DATED 9TH APRIL 1927.

Government are pleased to direct that in view of the fact that the Panchayets are in an infant stage and may not be in a condition to meet the expenditure on account of such agency, no charge be levied from the Panchayets for supervision of works done by Government agency until further orders.

N. MADHAVA RAO,
Chief Secretary to Government.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

W D 2124—GPB—800—18-4-27